## A NEW GENUS OF NYMPHALIDÆ AND ITS AFFINI-TIES (LEPIDOPTERA, RHOPALOCERA)

BY EUGENE MUNROE

In the course of a study of the West Indian butterfly fauna, the relationships of the species commonly known as *Mestra* (or *Cystineura*) teleboas came into question. Accordingly, an examination of the morphology of this and allied forms was undertaken, in order to determine these relationships as far as possible. It was concluded that teleboas is generically distinct from *hypermestra* Hübner, the genotype of *Mestra*, and cannot legitimately be referred to any other described genus. A brief synopsis of the genera studied is therefore presented, together with a description of the new genus.

The genera under consideration belong to a small group of Nymphalinæ, distributed in the Neotropical, Ethiopian, and Oriental regions, and characterized in the adult by the strong inflation of the basal part of subcosta of the fore wing, without a corresponding inflation of any other vein. The adults appear to be similar in habits, in whatever region they may be found; the known larvæ are also similar, and all feed on Euphorbiaceæ, mostly on species of Tragia. The homogeneity of the group was recognized by Doubleday and Westwood (1851), who, however, included with it certain aberrant Satyrine genera under the family name Eurytelidæ. Schatz (1887) did not treat the group as a unit, but included all its genera in the Nymphalinæ, and it remained for Aurivillius (1898) to erect the tribe Eurytelidi for the African genera. Seitz (1921) pointed out the similarities of the American genera to those of the Old World, and united them under the tribal name Ergolini.

The following ten genera, which constitute the tribe Eurytelini or Ergolini as understood by Seitz, appear, with the probable exception of *Biblis*, to be closely related. They are certainly also nearly allied to the other swollen-veined Nymphalinæ (*Eunica*, etc.), many genera among which feed on Euphorbiaceæ, while the group as a whole shows the same tendency toward specialization

of the eighth abdominal sternite of the male that is so characteristic of the present tribe. There is something to be said for the gathering of all the swollen-veined forms and their allies, including even the highly specialized Hamadryas (Ageronia), in a single tribe; whether this would be the most convenient arrangement, the writer is not prepared to say: it would have the disadvantage, for instance, of obscuring the fact that the Ergolis complex is the only part of the group which is generally distributed, all the remaining genera, with the one exception of Crenis, being limited to tropical America.

The internal relationships of the Eurytelini are not entirely clear, owing to the fact that the individual genera diverge rather strikingly in structure and pattern within the limits defined by the characters of the group. Several of the more striking characters, useful in generic diagnosis, appear to be distributed haphazardly, without evident regard to true relationship. Nonetheless, certain affinities can be made out. The three genera Ergolis, Laringa, and Eurytela are closely allied, agreeing in the angular wing form and in pattern (except in certain species of Ergolis, mimetic of  $Eupl\alpha a$ ), and also in some characters of the male genitalia, notably in the æd@agus, which is heavy and dorsiventrally flattened, or even trough-shaped, and in the valve, which is emarginate ventrally and closely articulated with the lateral process of the deeply bilobed juxta, so as to form a biramous composite structure. Byblia is evidently fairly close to these genera, having the same heavy, flattened ædæagus, but appears to be more primitive in having rounded wings, and in having the valve less intimately associated with the juxta. Neptidopsis, Mesoxantha, and the new genus agree in having the valve reduced ventrally but not closely associated with the juxta; otherwise the three genera are not particularly close in structure, although Neptidopsis and the new genus agree in pattern. This black and white pattern is suggestive of the Limenitini, and may be the primitive pattern of the group. The stout ædæagus and heavily sclerotized genitalia of Neptidopsis are vaguely suggestive of Ergolis and its associated genera, but Neptidopsis shows none of the definite specializations which characterize them, so the resemblance may be illusory. Like Mesoxantha, Mestra and Vila have a long slender ædæagus, but differ from all the other genera in having the valve normally developed. *Biblis* is unique in having the male palpi strikingly modified, and its male genitalia differ markedly from those of the other genera here considered; in the writer's opinion it cannot be included in the Eurytelini, and must occupy a somewhat isolated position in the general swollen-veined group.

# Genus 1. Ergolis Boisduval

Ergolis Boisduval, 1836, pl. 4, f.4. Genotype and sole original species: Papilio ariadne Johannson (1763).

Ariadne Horsfield, 1829, pl. 6, f.2. Genotype and sole original species: Papilio ariadne Johannson (1763). Homonym of Ariadne Savigny (1825).

Palpi long and porrect; eyes naked. Fore wing with cell closed; lower discocellular sinuate (in merione and pupillata almost straight), terminating posteriorly at the fork of M<sub>3</sub> and Cu<sub>1</sub>. Hind wing with cell closed; basal part of M<sub>2</sub> (middle discocellular) strongly curved; lower discocellular concave outwards, terminating at the fork of M<sub>3</sub> and Cu<sub>1</sub>. Male with prominent sex scaling on the posterior half of the fore wing beneath and on the anterior part of the hind wing above, in some species also on the upper side of the fore wing. In E. obscura, M<sub>3</sub> and Cu<sub>1</sub> of both fore and hind wings are stalked for a short distance.

Male genitalia showing considerable specific variation; sometimes highly specialized. Eighth tergite with a weak anterolateral process on each side. Eighth sternite variable, always bilobed and spined posteriorly; in the genotype the lobes are inconspicuous and rounded, in pupillata they are somewhat more conspicuous and upturned, in enotrea they form long slender processes, which bear a comb-like row of spines and a stout terminal spine, and extend dorsad beyond the costa of the valve. Saccus slender, straight or curved, length varying with the species. Uncus rather broad, pointed, not clearly distinct from tegumen. Valve rather slender, somewhat emarginate ventrally, rounded or hooked at the tip. Juxta deeply divided, lobes closely associated with ventral margin of valves. Aedœagus variable; in the genotype long, rather slender, and slightly decurved, with

a blunt tip, in other species much stouter, upcurved, and dorsiventrally flattened or even trough-shaped.

A moderately large genus, distributed throughout the Oriental and Ethiopian regions. The great variation in structure, even among the few species examined, is striking, and a morphological study of the full range of species should be most fruitful. It is quite possible that the results of such a study would necessitate the splitting of the genus, while they would certainly reveal interesting interrelationships among the species.

Species examined: ariadne Joh., enotrea Cr., isæus pupillata Fruhst., merione Cr., actisanes Hew., obscura Fldr. (external characters); ariadne Joh., enotrea Cr., isæus pupillata Fruhst. (male genitalia).

## Genus 2. Laringa Moore

Laringa Moore, 1901: 24. Genotype, by original designation: Eurytela horsfieldii Boisduval (1833).

Palpi densely scaled, moderately long, porrect; eyes naked. Cell of fore wing closed; lower discocellular gently concave externally, ending at or slightly beyond the fork of  $M_3$  and  $Cu_1$ . Hind wing with cell closed;  $M_2$  sharply angled shortly beyond its origin from  $M_1$ , then rather gently curved; lower discocellular slightly concave outwards, terminating at fork of  $M_3$  and  $Cu_1$ . Male differing from female in colour, in *horsfieldii* moderately, in *castelnaui* strikingly. Male without conspicuous sex scaling.

Male genitalia of the *Ergolis* type, but less specialized. Eighth sternite elongate, somewhat emarginate laterally, very moderately bifid posteriorly, without spines. Saccus long and slender, slightly upcurved anteriorly. Uncus simple, not sharply distinct from tegumen. Subscaphium moderately well developed, connected by lateral arms with the tegumen. Valve narrow, bearing ventrally at the base a lobe belonging to the juxta. Aedœagus heavy, depressed, upturned posteriorly.

This genus is closely related to *Ergolis*, with which it might perhaps be united, but in certain respects it appears to mark a transition to *Eurytela*. It is limited to tropical Asia.

Species examined: horsfieldii Bsd. (external characters and male genitalia); castelnaui niha Fruhst. (external characters).

## Genus 3. Eurytela Boisduval

Eurytela Boisduval, 1833: 54. Genotype: Papilio dryope Cramer.

Doubleday (1844) selected a genotype by elimination; this action was accepted as valid by Scudder (1875), who formally designated dryope as the genotype.

Palpi very long, third joint porrect; eyes densely hairy. Fore wing with cell weakly closed; lower discocellular very gently concave, terminating beyond fork of  $M_3$  and  $Cu_1$ . Hind wing with cell closed;  $M_2$  arising just beyond fork of RS and  $M_1$ ; lower discocellular straight, arising well beyond fork of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , terminating at fork of  $M_3$  and  $Cu_1$ ; fork  $M_{1-2}$  nearer base than fork  $Cu_{1-2}$ .

Male genitalia of the *Ergolis* type; eighth sternite moderately elongate, trilobed posteriorly, but with posterior median portion, including median lobe, very weakly sclerotized; lateral lobes spined. Uncus simple, clearly distinct from tegumen. Subscaphium well developed, connected with tegumen by a pair of lateral arms. Saccus moderately long, tapering anteriorly. Valve narrow, bearing ventrally at the base a lobe belonging to the juxta. Aedœagus stout, flattened, straight.

The only genus of the tribe with hairy eyes. Confined to the Ethiopian region, where three species are known, *alinda* Mab. certainly being distinct.

Species examined: hiarbas Dru. (external characters and male genitalia); dryope Cr., alinda Mab. (external characters).

# Genus 4. Byblia Hübner

Byblia Hübner, 1819: 28. Genotype and sole original species: Papilio ilithyia Drury (1773).

Hypanis Boisduval, 1833: 55. Genotype: Papilio ilithyia Drury (1773), designated by Scudder (1875), who believed the two original species to be identical.

Palpi finely scaled, third joint very long and porrect; eyes naked. Cell of fore wing weakly closed; lower discocellular concave outwards, ending just beyond fork of M<sub>3</sub> and Cu<sub>1</sub>. Hind wing with cell closed; M<sub>2</sub> strongly curved at base; fork RS-M<sub>1</sub> slightly nearer base than fork Cu<sub>1-2</sub>; lower discocellular concave outwards, ending at fork of M<sub>3</sub> and Cu<sub>1</sub>. As pointed out by

Aurivillius, in occasional specimens the hind wings have the cell open.

Male genitalia: eighth tergite with an antero-lateral process; eighth sternite long and heavy, somewhat upturned posteriorly; posterolateral angles somewhat produced, bearing three or four somewhat fusiform spines. Saccus long, slender, and curved. Uncus very slender, pointed, and decurved, clearly distinct from the tegumen; the latter bears a small posteriorly directed process on either side of the base of the uncus, representing the subscaphium, which is otherwise totally absent. Valve posteroventrally emarginate. Juxta well developed, articulating with the lower margin of the valve. Aedwagus heavy, depressed, upturned posteriorly.

A small genus, distributed throughout the tropics of the Old World.

Species examined: acheloia Cr. (external characters and male genitalia); ilithyia Dru. (external characters).

## Genus 5. Neptidopsis Aurivillius

Neptidopsis Aurivillius, 1898: 155. Genotype: Papilio ophione Cramer (1779), new designation.

Palpi long, finely scaled, porrect; eyes naked. Fore wing with cell closed; lower discocellular gently concave externally, ending at fork of  $M_3$  and  $Cu_1$ . Hind wing with cell open; fork RS- $M_1$  about opposite to fork  $Cu_{1-2}$ , both very close to base;  $M_2$  strongly curved at base.

Male genitalia; eighth sternite long and slender, somewhat bilobed posteriorly, each lobe bearing a single fusiform spine. Eighth tergite with a strong antero-lateral process. Saccus long, slender, and straight. Uncus pointed, not clearly distinct from tegumen. Subscaphium well developed, connected by lateral arms with the tegumen. Valve narrow. Aedœagus long, heavy, cylindrical, straight.

Distribution Ethiopian. Of the two species included by Aurivillius, *ophione* is here selected as the type.

Species examined: ophione Cr. (external characters and male genitalia); fulgurata Bsd. (external characters).

### Genus 6. Mesoxantha Aurivillius

Mesoxantha Aurivillius, 1898: 157. Genotype and sole original species: Papilio ethosea Drury (1782).

Palpi rather slender, porrect, with bushy scaling; eyes naked. Fore wing with discocellular strongly concave externally, ending slightly beyond fork of  $M_3$  and  $Cu_1$ . Hind wing with cell closed; middle discocellular straight, arising from fork RS- $M_1$ , longer than lower discocellular, the latter character being unique in the tribe; lower discocellular ending about midway between forks  $Cu_{1-2}$  and  $M_3$ - $Cu_1$ .

Male genitalia: eighth sternite slightly elongate, weakly bilobed posteriorly, unspined. Saccus long, slender, and straight. Uncus simple, distinct from tegumen. Subscaphium moderately well developed, connected by lateral arms with the tegumen. Valves somewhat emarginate postero-ventrally. Aedæagus long, slender except at base, pointed, bent upwards somewhat before the middle.

The genus is confined to tropical Africa. The contrast between the primitive genitalia, which do not differ greatly from those of *Eunica*, and the specialized wing venation is striking. The venation has perhaps become modified in connection with the development of Acreine mimicry.

Species examined: ethosea Dru. (external characters and male genitalia).

#### Genus 7. Archimestra new genus

Genotype: Argynnis teleboas Ménétriés (1832)

Palpi long and porrect; eyes naked. Fore wing with cell closed; R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>3</sub> arising together before end of cell; lower discocellular strongly concave outwards, ending at fork of M<sub>3</sub> and Cu<sub>1</sub>. Hind wing with cell closed; M<sub>2</sub> strongly curved at base, arising slightly beyond fork RS-M<sub>1</sub>; latter farther from base of wing than fork Cu<sub>1-2</sub>, but both fairly close; lower discocellular concave outwards ending between forks Cu<sub>1-2</sub> and M<sub>3</sub>-Cu<sub>1</sub>.

Male genitalia weakly sclerotized, with the parts somewhat reduced; eighth sternite not elongate, faintly bilobed posteriorly, without spines, though with a rather stout apical seta on each lobe; eighth tergite with a weak antero-lateral process. Saccus of moderate length, slender and slightly sinuate, pointed anteriorly. Uncus simple, clearly distinct from tegumen. Subscaphium absent, although the lateral arms articulating with the tegumen are weakly represented. Valve narrow, ventral part reduced.

Juxta weak and bifid. Aedœagus short, weakly sclerotized, moderately thick, upturned at about the middle.

The single species referred to this genus has been placed by all recent authors in Mestra, usually under the synonymous name Cystineura. The anomalous nature of the species has, however, frequently been recognized, and Seitz (1921) suggested that it might well be referred to Neptidopsis, in view of the similarity in wing pattern and length of palpi, but did not go so far as to make this revision himself. In point of fact, the detailed correspondence in pattern between the two genera is not too good. and, as already pointed out, the genitalia and wing venation are not similar. From *Mestra*, the new genus is easily distinguished by the closed cell of the hind wing, the sharply curved base of M<sub>2</sub> in the same wing, the absence of the subscaphium, and, superficially, by the strongly contrasting wing pattern of black and white. The absence of the subscaphium and the generally weak development of the male genitalia are probably secondary; the other characters of the genus may well be primitive. There is no clear indication of an immediate relationship with either Mestra or Neptidopsis.

Archimestra has a relict distribution, being confined to Hispaniola, where it is locally common. It no doubt represents an archaic type—a conjecture which has suggested the name.

Species examined: *teleboas* Mén. (external characters and male genitalia).

#### Genus 8. Mestra Hübner

Mestra Hübner, 1825, vol. 2, pl. 45. Genotype and sole original species: Mestra hypermestra Hübner (1825).

Cystineura Boisduval, 1836, pl. 9. Genotype and sole original species: Papilio hersilia Fabricius (1777).

Palpi moderately long, porrect; eyes naked. Fore wing with cell closed;  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  arising together at approximately the end of the cell; lower discocellular bent rather sharply near its posterior extremity. Hind wing with cell open; fork RS-M<sub>1</sub> somewhat farther from base than fork  $Cu_{1-2}$ ;  $M_2$  rather gently curved at base.

Male genitalia: eighth sternite long, narrow, bilobed posteriorly; eighth tergite with a weak, downwardly directed antero-

lateral process. Saccus long, slender, and straight. Uncus simple, not clearly distinct from tegumen. Subscaphium moderately well developed, connected by lateral arms with the tegumen. Valve of normal width, bilobed at tip. Juxta weak, entire. Aedœagus pointed, slender except at base.

A Neotropical genus, with a small number of closely similar species, whose precise limits are not yet fully understood.

Species examined: hypermestra Hbn., amymone Men., dorcas F. (external characters and male genitalia).

## Genus 9. Vila Kirby

Vila Kirby, 1871: 217. Genotype: Olina azeca Doubleday (1848), automatically, as this name was proposed to replace Olina Doubleday, of which azeca is the type.

Olina Doubleday, 1848, pl. 31. Genotype and sole original species: Olina azeca Doubleday (1848). Homonym of Olina Robineau-Desvoidy (1830).

Palpi moderately long, porrect, with third joint rather short; eyes naked. Fore wing with cell closed; lower discocellular straight, ending between forks  $M_3$ – $Cu_1$  and  $Cu_{1-2}$ . Hind wing with cell closed; fork RS– $M_1$  somewhat farther from base than fork  $Cu_{1-2}$ ;  $M_2$  rather strongly bent at base; lower discocellular strongly concave outwards, ending basad of fork  $M_3$ – $Cu_1$ .

The above description was made from V. cacilia; V. emilia agrees with it; V. cacica differs in having the cell of the hind wing open and that of the fore wing very weakly closed, while V. azeca, the genotype, has the cell open in both wings. These species are otherwise very similar in structure, and the differences mentioned do not appear to be of more than specific value.

Male genitalia (V. azeca): abdomen with very wide membranous pleural region; behind tergites 4 and 5 are conspicuous invaginated and presumably eversible mid-dorsal pockets, containing long, black scales. Eighth tergite with a long anterolateral process. Eighth sternite very long, extending anteriorly into segment 6; at the anterior extremity it is slender and furcate, posteriorly it is prolonged into two long, upwardly directed processes, bearing a comb-like row of long spines on the posterior margin. Saccus slender, of moderate length, bent upwards an-

teriorly. Tegumen broad and heavy, with a slender lateral process articulating with the valve. Uncus stout, spatulate, not clearly distinct from tegumen. Subscaphium prominent, lateral arms connecting with tegumen rather short. Valve slender, hairy, simple in outline, rather deeply emarginate basally between dorsal and ventral articulations. Juxta bilobed. Aedæagus fairly thick, upturned before middle, pointed distally.

V. cacilia differs in having a third pouch of scales behind the sixth abdominal tergite, in having the eighth sternite only moderately expanded and bilobed posteriorly, the lobes being thickly set internally with short spines, in having the uncus slender and pointed, in the valve being provided with a hand-shaped expansion distally, and not being emarginate basally between the articulations, in the saccus being long, slender, and straight, and in the form of the juxta. Other species would no doubt show correspondingly great differences.

The genus is Neotropical in distribution.

The data concerning the original publication of *Olina* Doubleday are taken from Scudder (1875); the plate cited bears no date. Whether or not it antedated the corresponding text is immaterial from the present standpoint, as *azeca* remains the genotype in the latter event, on the basis of Scudder's designation. Those interested in this question may consult Brown (1941) and Hemming (1941).

Species examined: azeca Dbl., cacilia Fldr. (external characters and male genitalia); emilia Cr., cacica Stgr. (external characters).

### Genus 10. Biblis Fabricius

Biblis Fabricius, 1807: xi, no. 14. Genotype, by absolute tantonymy: Papilio biblis Fabricius (1775).

Zonaga Billberg, 1820: 7. Genotype and sole original species: Papilio biblis Fabricius (1775).

Palpi long, those of female very long; in the male the third joint is considerably modified, being short, compressed, and rounded at the tip; in the female this joint is of normal form, moderately long, and pointed at the tip. Eyes naked. Fore wing with cell closed; lower discocellular very gently convex out-

wards, ending distinctly basad of fork  $M_3$ -Cu<sub>1</sub>. Hind wing with cell open;  $M_2$  strongly curved at base, arising well beyond fork RS- $M_1$ , which is opposite fork Cu<sub>1-2</sub>. Male with a conspicuous oval patch of modified scales on the under side of the fore wings, just anterior to  $A_2$ .

Male genitalia highly modified; eighth tergite without an antero-lateral process; eighth sternite heavily sclerotized, greatly expanded, especially at front, with anterior and posterior angles heavily spined; saccus moderately long and stout; uncus deeply bifid, distinct from tegumen; subscaphium long and broad, boat shaped, with short and very broad lateral arms, which narrow rapidly toward the tegumen; valve of normal width, pointed at tip; juxta strongly bilobed; ædæagus long, slender, tubular and straight, pointed at tip.

The genitalia differ in almost every important character from those of the Ergolini, and there is little probability of a direct relationship. The single species is Neotropical.

As pointed out by Scudder (1875), D'Almeida (1942), and Comstock (1943), the type of *Didonis* is a Satyrine, and the name cannot legitimately be used for the present genus.

Species examined: hyperia Cr. (= biblis F.).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The writer is greatly indebted to Dr. Wm. T. M. Forbes of Cornell University, and to Mr. Wm. P. Comstock of the American Museum of Natural History for permission to examine and dissect material in the collections of those two institutions. In addition, Dr. Forbes was kind enough to read preliminary manuscript of this paper, and offered some valuable suggestions as to arrangement and terminology.

#### LITERATURE CITED

D'ALMEIDA, R. FERREIRA. 1941. Algumas observações sôbre a fauna de Lepidóptera da América. Arq. de Zool. do Estado de Sao Paulo, 2: 299-318.

Aurivillius, C. 1898. Rhopalocera Aethiopica. Kongl. Svensk. Vetenskaps-Akad. Handl., 31 (5).

BILLBERG, G. J. 1820. Enumeratio insectorum in museo Billberg. Stockholm.

- Boisduval, J. B. A. 1833. L'èpidoptéres de Madagascar. Nouv. Ann. Mus. Hist. Nat., 2: 149–270, 7 pl.
- ———. 1836. Spécies général de Lépidotères. Paris.
- Brown, F. M. 1941. Some notes on four primary reference works on Lepidoptera. Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer., 34: 127-138.
- COMSTOCK, Wm. P. 1942. The name *Biblis*, generic and specific. Bull. Brookl. Ent. Soc., 37: 89–90.
- DOUBLEDAY, E. 1848. The genera of diurnal Lepidoptera, pl. 31. London.
- DOUBLEDAY, E. AND J. O. WESTWOOD. 1851. Eurytelidæ, in The genera of diurnal Lepidoptera, vol. 2. London.
- Fabricius, J. C. 1807. Systema Glossatorum. Braunschweig.
- HEMMING, F. 1941. The types of genera established by Doubleday (E.) in "the genera of diurnal Lepidoptera" and by Westwood (J. O.) in the continuation thereof. Jour. Soc. Bibl. Nat. Hist., 1: 413-446.
- Horsfield, T. 1829. A descriptive catalogue of the Lepidopterous insects contained in the museum of the Honourable East India Company, Part 2.
- HÜBNER, J. 1819. Verzeichniss bekannter Schmett[er]linge. Signature 2. Augsburg.
- ———. 1825. Sammlung exotischer Schmetterlinge, vol. 2. Augsburg. Kirby, W. F. 1871. A synonymic catalogue of diurnal Lepidoptera. London.
- MÉNÉTRIÉS, E. 1832. Catalogue de quelques lépidopterès des Antilles avec la description de plusieurs espèces nouvelles. Bull. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscou, 5: 291-316.
- ———. 1834. Notice surquelques lépidoptères des Antilles. Nouv. Mém. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscou, 3: 113-133, pl. 10, 11.
- MOORE, F. 1901. Lepidoptera Indica, vol. 5. London.
- SCHATZ, E. 1887. Exotische Schmetterlinge, II Theil: Die Familien und Gattungen der Tagfalter Systematisch und analytisch bearbeitet. Fürth, Bayaria.
- Scudder, S. H. 1875. Historical sketch of the generic names proposed for butterflies. Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts and Sci., 10: 91-293.
- Seitz, A. 1921. Ergolidi, in Macrolepidoptera of the World, Fauna Americana, vol. 5.